

**From:** Ellen Hiniker  
**Sent:** Friday, November 19, 2021 9:07 AM  
**To:** ward4  
**Subject:** Fw: Rush line resolution (002).docx  
**Attachments:** Rush line resolution (002).docx

Got a bounce back this morning, so sending to your WBL email. Below are yesterday's and this morning's emails. Sorry if you didn't received yesterday! I have too many versions of what may be wrong email in my system.... 😞

---

**From:** Ellen Hiniker  
**Sent:** Friday, November 19, 2021 8:51 AM  
**To:** kledberg@[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fw: Rush line resolution (002).docx

Morning, Kevin. Bill is not willing to take out the word "pause" in the resolution. I will be putting it in as he originally presented --- clearly representing it is his proposal, of course. If you'd like to discuss, I'll be available until noon. I'll wait to finalize until then. Thanks, Kevin!

---

**From:** Ellen Hiniker  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 18, 2021 10:33 AM  
**To:** kledberg@[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Rush line resolution (002).docx

Hello Kevin. Attached is the resolution forwarded by Bill with my edits. It is very important that the resolution not include language that references a "pause in activity", nor that it direct the Met Council to rely on the University's study. The Feds have very specific criteria for evaluating ridership.

According to the current project timeline, Met Council would be submitting final application to the Feds for funding mid-2023, which is after the U's study will be completed, so the timing works nicely in terms of optics.

One course of action would be for me to let Bill know that you would be willing to take action in support of this resolution on the 23<sup>rd</sup> if worded as edited (only if you're comfortable with it, of course). Either way, I will be calling Doug to let him know what has transpired.

Let me know what you think. Thank you!