

On Thu, Jan 31, 2019 at 4:09 PM Chris Cramer <cramer@ [REDACTED]> wrote:
Same.

C.

On Jan 31, 2019, at 16:00, Pamela Webb <pwebb@ [REDACTED]> wrote:

Raechelle, I think that would be wise and helpful

Pamela

On Thu, Jan 31, 2019 at 3:52 PM Raechelle Drakeford <drakeford@ [REDACTED]> wrote
Thank you Pat for the quick follow up and thorough email.

Huawei is pretty aggressive. They are ready to schedule a visit as soon as mid-February. I could envision scheduling a conference call to "talk" about a joint lab but I expect they will assertively try to move us toward a visit. This would feel like a "stringing along" of sorts.

Given the tenuousness of establishing a joint lab, I think it's best for me to tactfully and discreetly compose an email to put any and all joint lab discussion on hold

Please let me know if anyone disagrees with this.

Raechelle

Communication | Woo | Developer | Connectedness | Harmony

Raechelle L. Drakeford,
Bachelor of Chemical Engineering '98, Master of Material Science and Engineering '04
Director of Corporate and Foundation Relations
University of Minnesota
College of Science and Engineering

105 Walter Library
117 Pleasant Street S.E.
Minneapolis, MN 55455
Email: [drakeford@ \[REDACTED\]](mailto:drakeford@ [REDACTED])
Office: [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED]

On Jan 31, 2019, at 3:21 PM, Mostafa Kaveh <mos@ [REDACTED]> wrote:

thanks, Pat. Chris and I have communicated on this as well, and we agree with your recommendation.

Best,

Mos

On Thu, Jan 31, 2019 at 11:05 AM Patrick Briscoe <bris0022@ [REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi, Raechelle.

Having read the recent indictments carefully and chatted about them with folks here and elsewhere, I'm inclined to recommend that we put further discussions on any joint lab with Huawei on hold until a conversation and decision about Huawei at the Dean/VP level occur. The Dean and VP on cc are welcome to disagree, of course. :)

These indictments are game changers, in my opinion. They're not conviction, to be sure, but they nevertheless present a credible and problematic narrative of a high-profile company that members of Congress and several agencies already consider a potential national security threat, and that a growing number of universities in the US (and even abroad) are excluding Huawei in now alleged to have deliberately prioritized and rewarded the theft of proprietary US technology, obstructed justice, and