

From: Lamb, Brian <Brian.Lamb@[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2015 2:24 PM  
To: Harwood, James  
Cc: Gutzmann, Micky  
Subject: FW: DTE Pedestrian Bridge

Any problem if we respond affirmatively to the offer below and keep the language of the business item as drafted?

Brian

From: Don Becker [mailto:DonB@[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2015 2:21 PM  
To: Lamb, Brian  
Cc: BAGLEYL@[REDACTED], Maday, Liz; Howard, Bruce; Harwood, James; Warren, Kevin  
Subject: RE: DTE Pedestrian Bridge

Brian:

I understand your point. Being that the \$3.5M is for construction costs only, we are in agreement to cover the design fees for the 30 foot width in addition. Although it's hard to make an agreement without a firm number. When we discussed the added design fee last week I had in my head \$100k added cost which is what I represented internally as a max. Can we agree to \$100k as the max contribution to proceed?

Don

From: Lamb, Brian [mailto:Brian.Lamb@[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2015 1:49 PM  
To: Don Becker  
Cc: BAGLEYL@[REDACTED], Maday, Liz; Howard, Bruce; Harwood, James; Warren, Kevin  
Subject: RE: DTE Pedestrian Bridge

Don:

While some of the changes can wait until Monday, we need to clarify the cost contributions before I can send this business item out later this afternoon. I thought we had been very clear that the \$3.5 million contribution was for construction cost only. The design costs associated with the change in scope were a cost over and above that amount and borne by the Team. We have not finished our negotiations with the engineering contractors so I cannot, at this time, give you a hard number. If you need something to work from as a maximum contribution I would suggest \$150,000.

I would like to hit the deadline of posting the business item today. Please let me know if I can proceed.

Thanks,  
Brian

From: Don Becker [mailto:DonB@[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2015 11:08 AM  
To: Lamb, Brian  
Cc: BAGLEYL@[REDACTED], Maday, Liz; Howard, Bruce; Harwood, James; Warren, Kevin  
Subject: RE: DTE Pedestrian Bridge

Brian:

Pursuant to our last discussion, attached is an updated term sheet that addresses your proposal to share

platform advertising revenue and not include advertising on the bridge. This keeps the underlying agreement we have already negotiated intact, and would add the attached provisions. Please let us know your thoughts on these added terms.

We briefed the MSFA on these most recent discussions and the sharing of costs and advertising between the Vikings and Metro Transit are fine. Two questions were raised in our conversation:

1. What is needed for the Met Council approval next week and do we need to work on an updated document that includes these added provisions?
2. The stadium project has not yet finalized the design nor made the commitment to fund improvements on the DTE property, either on the Northwest side of the LRT tracks or on the Southeast side. If for whatever reason the project cannot complete these property improvements within the next 12 months can the bridge be completed with or without these improvements at no added cost to the stadium project?

I'm available if you would like to discuss.

Thanks,  
Don

From: Lamb, Brian [mailto:Brian.Lamb@[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 5:29 PM  
To: Don Becker  
Cc: BAGLEYL@[REDACTED]; Maday, Liz; Howard, Bruce; Harwood, James  
Subject: Re: DTE Pedestrian Bridge

I will be back in my office by 2:30. I would welcome the visit to our offices but if that is too tight for your connection we can teleconference.

Brian

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 29, 2015, at 3:23 PM, Don Becker <DonB@[REDACTED]> wrote:  
Thanks Brian. This is helpful. Thanks for the rendering as well.  
We'll take you up on the 2:30 time tomorrow – are you available in person or just by phone? We can come over to your office if convenient.  
Don

From: Lamb, Brian [mailto:Brian.Lamb@[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 11:57 AM  
To: Don Becker  
Cc: 'BAGLEYL@[REDACTED]'; Maday, Liz; Howard, Bruce; Harwood, James  
Subject: RE: DTE Pedestrian Bridge

Don:  
I can make a 2:30 call work.  
Attached is the current contract with Titan. We will forward the latest rendering of the bridge when we get it.

Brian

From: Don Becker [mailto:DonB@[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 10:06 AM  
To: Lamb, Brian  
Cc: 'BAGLEYL@[REDACTED]'  
Subject: RE: DTE Pedestrian Bridge

Good morning Brian.

Are you available to meet tomorrow (Tuesday) around 1pm or later to review the bridge plan? I will be in town for the day leaving on a 5:30 flight.

Also, two additional items would be helpful for us:

- 1) A copy of your current agreement with Titan.
- 2) A current rendering of the bridge design.

Thank you,

Don

[REDACTED] cell

From: Lamb, Brian [mailto:Brian.Lamb@[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2015 5:00 PM  
To: Don Becker  
Cc: 'BAGLEYL@[REDACTED]'  
Subject: DTE Pedestrian Bridge

Don:

As we discussed on Tuesday, I did speak with Chair Duininck about the possibility of bringing our revised agreement back in front of our Board in an expedited manner. You had expressed an interest in establishing the Board level of support sooner than the August timeframe I had outlined. Chair Duininck agreed that if we can firm up the essence of the agreement within the next week, he would be willing to have this item go to the Council on July 8. The Council meets every two weeks so the next opportunity would not be until July 22. That delay would be problematic for us in our effort to get the construction RFP on the street in a timely manner. Let me know how you would like to proceed.

BTW, I have not talked with either Ted or Michelle on this topic in the past 10 days. Unless you object, I intend to call Ted on Friday and bring him up to speed.

Thanks,  
Brian

From: Lamb, Brian <Brian.Lamb@[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2015 5:30 PM  
To: Jones, Pat  
Cc: Harwood, James; Porter, Marilyn  
Subject: Re: Date/Time: DTE Ped Bridge

I did discuss. Adam is ok with pursuing either approach - either delayed with or without a footings phase or striking a deal now with a minimum 50% cost share. We will start with a delayed approach and see how much Vikings want it now.

I also have some confirmation on both street name and DTE neighborhood interest in sharing naming rights to station.

Need one more conversation with Micky about whether one month delay in NTP is enough to reopen damages part of contract. You can be sure contractor will want.

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 2, 2015, at 4:42 PM, Jones, Pat <Pat.Jones@[REDACTED]> wrote:  
Brian:

Based on our discussion last week, you were planning to follow up with Adam and Wes on Friday to bring them up to speed on our conversation with MSFA and the Vikings. Did those discussions add any new ideas?

Also, we were going to work through the math on a revised advertising revenue scenario should the Vikings want to add funding to the project in an attempt to award this year. Jim is working with Bruce to have this available.

Besides the advertising revenue scenario, we do not have any new information. If there is any additional follow-up that you would like prepared for tomorrow's meeting, please let us know.

Thanks,

Pat

Pat Jones, PE

Assistant Director, Facilities Engineering  
Metro Transit

560 Sixth Avenue North, Minneapolis, MN 55411-4398

direct: [REDACTED] | fax: 612.349.7600

general: [REDACTED] | cellular: [REDACTED]

pat.jones@[REDACTED]

? Please consider the environment before printing this email.

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Maday, Liz

Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2015 12:05 PM

To: Maday, Liz; Lamb, Brian; Harwood, James; Jones, Pat; Hanf, Peter; Ted Mondale ; 'michele.kelm-helgen@[REDACTED]'; 'DonB@[REDACTED]'; Lester Bagley (BAGLEYL@[REDACTED]); 'WarrenK@[REDACTED]'; 'Engelsa@[REDACTED]'; 'LRotman@[REDACTED]'

Cc: Porter, Marilyn; Howard, Bruce; Amy Quaintance; 'Tiffany.Orth@[REDACTED]'; Huizinga, Trish; May, Denise

Subject: Date/Time: DTE Ped Bridge

When: Tuesday, November 03, 2015 3:00 PM-4:30 PM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

Where: Metro Transit ExecutiveConferenceRoom + Call in

Dial in: [REDACTED]

Conference code: [REDACTED]