

From: Mike Ridley
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 12:55 PM
To: Jon Hohenstein; Russ Matthys; Dave Osberg
Cc: bbartz@[REDACTED]
Subject: Met Council

Gents - I spoke with Beth and she shared her take on the issues being raised now by the Met Council as opposed to during the outreach meetings we held in December and April as being somewhat misconstrued.

Beth believes that the Met Council was/is on board with the proposed traffic impact methodology discussed at the outreach meetings and that the current concern is relative to the actual mitigation plan proposed and, further, that their current comments are actually not new but are being reiterated from their original comment letter on the Draft AUAR.

There's a lot of angst being shared back and forth and I think it makes sense to take a step by back, take a breath and figure out what needs to be done to get where we all want to go.

I've asked Beth to look back at the previous comments received and frame her position on what's happening and to summarize what she believes needs to be done to get the Met Council in agreement or at least to sign off on the AUAR and the Plan Amendment.

The May 23 CC meeting is off the table so we've got time to figure out the best approach.

Thanks,

Mike

Michael J. Ridley, AICP | City Planner | City of Eagan
City Hall | 3830 Pilot Knob Road | Eagan, MN 55122 | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED]
(Fax) | mridley@[REDACTED]

THIS COMMUNICATION MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL AND/OR OTHERWISE PROPRIETARY MATERIAL and is thus for use only by the intended recipient. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the e-mail and its attachments from all computers.