

From: [Jensen, Richard \(CMS/CMCS\)](#)
To: [Sheer, Jennifer L. \(CMS/CMCS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Correspondence from Minnesota
Date: Thursday, February 09, 2012 2:59:50 PM

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Jensen, Richard (CMS/CMCS)
>Sent: Monday, January 30, 2012 6:18 PM
>To: Mann, Cynthia (CMS/CMCS); Payne, Candice J. (CMS/CMCS); Wachino,
>Victoria A. (CMS/CMCS); Thompson, Penny R. (CMS/CMCS); Pratt, Theresa A.
>(CMS/CMCS)
>Cc: Glassberg, Hope (CMS/CMCS); Perrier, Sherry (CMS/CMCS); Garner,
>Angela D. (CMS/CMCS)
>Subject: RE: Correspondence from Minnesota

>

>During the renewal negotiations for MN's PMAP+ 1115 Demonstration last
>spring and summer, CMS received letters from several stakeholders
>(including Senator Marty's May 18, 2011 letter) that expressed concerns
>with the management of Medicaid funds by PMAP+ MCOs. These letters also
>expressed concern regarding quality and access. DSDW checked on these
>subjects with our Managed Care experts and FMG; internally, we are not
>aware of any complaints/concerns about access or quality, and in general
>MN's MCOs are well regarded. In response to these letters, CMS held a
>call with the stakeholders on June 17, 2011. In light of the concerns
>expressed by the stakeholders and the Governor's executive order
>regarding managed care transparency, DSDW added STC language addressing
>financial reporting, the managed care bidding and contracting process
>into the requirements for the annual report (STC 43(a)).

>

>After the Demo was approved we had another conversation with the
>stakeholders in August where they expressed the need for an independent
>audit. We had no information, nor did the stakeholders provide any
>information, that supported claims they continued to make regarding
>mismanagement by the MCOs. As communication continued into the fall we
>tried to facilitate a meeting between David Godfrey and the stakeholders
>to discuss their concerns, but David did not see a reason for such a
>discussion and did not understand why we were still speaking to them.

>

>We responded to the first letter through a report of contact and have
>continued to document contacts accordingly. We do need to follow up
>with a response to this letter, (b)(5)

>(b)(5) At
>this point I would not recommend (b)(5)

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Mann, Cynthia (CMS/CMCS)
>Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2012 9:07 AM
>To: Payne, Candice J. (CMS/CMCS); Wachino, Victoria A. (CMS/CMCS);
>Jensen, Richard (CMS/CMCS); Thompson, Penny R. (CMS/CMCS); Pratt,
>Theresa A. (CMS/CMCS)
>Cc: Glassberg, Hope (CMS/CMCS)
>Subject: FW: Correspondence from Minnesota

>

>

>See attached letter. I don't recall ever having seen an incoming on
>this. Candice and Terry, can you check what happened to the incomings

>from last year.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

>

>Thanks.

>

>

>Cindy Mann

>Deputy Administrator, CMS

>Director, Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services

>

>From: Barbara Jacobs [REDACTED]

>Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 7:00 PM

>To: Mann, Cynthia (CMS/CMCS)

>Cc: Garner, Angela D. (CMS/CMCS); Sheer, Jennifer L. (CMS/CMCS);

>Schubel, Jessica (CMS/CMCS); Toback, Keri (CMS/CMCHO); Jensen, Richard

>(CMS/CMCS); Sen.John Marty

>Subject: Correspondence from Minnesota

>

>Dear Ms. Mann:

>

>Please find attached correspondence from Senator John Marty. Please let

>me know if you have any questions.

>

>Sincerely,

>

>Barb

>

>

>Barb Jacobs

>Legislative Assistant, Senator John Marty

>119 State Office Building

>

>

>[REDACTED] [mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])

>www.senate.mn/senatormarty<<http://www.senate.mn/senatormarty>>