

Johnson, Bill H (DNR)

From: Arkley, Stuart (DNR)
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 1:35 PM
To: David Blaha
Cc: Al Trippel; Carlson, Erik (DNR); Engstrom, Jennifer N (DNR)
Subject: DNR Comments on 12/23/11 Redraft of QA/QC Work Plan
Attachments: GoldSim Model_Eval_Plans_Rev_23Dec2011_MO JF ZW SA ML comments_v2.docx

Dave,

I have attached DNR's comments on the 12/23 draft of the QA/QC document. There are numerous comments. Much of this stems from a lack of clarity in the first draft that was only partially addressed in the 12/23 draft. The comments generally call for more clarity in the text as well as making substantive suggestions for incorporation into the work plan.

The document still needs an overhaul to address deficiencies carried forward from the original draft, which was not well organized or presented. The current draft does not provide a sufficient basis for the full technical discussion needed to develop a final work plan.

DNR technical reviewers have included comments on this draft based on their best understanding of the proposed work plan. It is possible that some comments are based on a misunderstanding of the text so I encourage the ERM team to contact DNR technical staff to bridge such gaps in understanding while the document is being redrafted. You can contact me or Mike Olson to coordinate conference calls as needed.

Please review the attached comments and let me know how ERM plans to proceed to a revised draft. For example, how long does ERM need to review the comments, and who will ERM select to do the final editing and writing of this document given that the first draft was not well written. Upon receiving your input we can work on defining an appropriate schedule and setting up any necessary meetings.

Thanks,
Stuart