

## Johnson, Bill H (DNR)

---

**From:** Carlson, Erik (DNR)  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 26, 2012 10:51 AM  
**To:** 'David Blaha'  
**Cc:** Kunz, Michael (DNR)  
**Subject:** RE: Phase II QA/QC info request

Dave,

Please ignore this email. After talking to Fred this morning we are taking a different path.

Erik

---

**From:** Carlson, Erik (DNR)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 25, 2012 3:22 PM  
**To:** David Blaha  
**Cc:** Kunz, Michael (DNR)  
**Subject:** Phase II QA/QC info request

Dave,

In the April 9 Phase I&II QA/QC work plan, fourth paragraph of section 2.2 says,

“The starting point for this process is a detailed flow chart showing the model components and flow pathways between these components. General flow charts are provided in the Mine Site and Plant Site work plans. The Co-lead Agencies will request that these general charts be expanded to provide more details regarding the model components and flow routing between components.”

Assuming you guys make this request from Barr (and we would like you to make the request), would you please provide this information to Mike Kunz. LAM staff identified this info as being helpful for their review of modeling results. The model results review and Phase I&II QA/QC should coincide.

Also, I think it would be a good idea to discuss the Phase II QA/QC work plan in more detail with Barr once you guys put the finishing touches on it. I think an discussion prior to actually needing the information for the Phase II check will help the review process get off to a smooth start. I can put this on the agenda for a Wed meeting if that is convenient.

Thanks, Erik