

Johnson, Bill H (DNR)

From: Tina Pint <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 11:51 AM
To: Carlson, Erik (DNR)
Cc: Peter J. Hinck; Cory D. Anderson; 'Jim Scott'
Subject: RE: NorthMet EIS - - draft ERM GoldSim model eval work plan (Phase II subsection)
Attachments: NorthMet EIS_GoldSim Model Evaluation Work Plan_Phase II Only_Revised ERM Draft 030612 js Barr.docx

Erik-
We have provided additional comments on top of Jim's comments.

Jim has already expressed his concern about the lack of specificity. As Jim also noted, and as we noted in our comments, some of the steps may involve a lot of effort with the current proposal, and it seems like there could be greater efficiency if more of the review was done within GoldSim itself rather than relying on exports to excel. Finally, we would strongly recommend that there be a check-in meeting following ERM's review prior to any documentation of the review. I think it would be beneficial to talk through identified issues to make sure they are real issues before they become part of the public record.

Please let me know if you have any questions.
Tina

Tina Pint, PG

Hydrogeologist
Minneapolis office: [REDACTED]
cell: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
www.barr.com

resourceful. naturally.



From: Jim Scott [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 2:57 PM
To: Carlson, Erik (DNR); Tina Pint
Subject: Re: NorthMet EIS - - draft ERM GoldSim model eval work plan (Phase II subsection)

Tina – please incorporate my comments as appropriate into Barr's response

Erik - as discussed in Tuesday meeting – my comments in attached – looks like many specifics are to be determined on the fly which is a big threat for scope creep and unplanned Barr work.

From: [Carlson, Erik \(DNR\)](mailto:Carlson, Erik (DNR))
Sent: Tuesday, March 13, 2012 11:57 AM
To: Tina Pint
Cc: Jim Scott
Subject: FW: NorthMet EIS - - draft ERM GoldSim model eval work plan (Phase II subsection)

Tina,
Please provide redline comments back to me by 3/24/12.

Thanks,
Erik

From: Al Trippel [<mailto:> [REDACTED]]
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2012 3:01 PM
To: Carlson, Erik (DNR); Arkley, Stuart (DNR); Engstrom, Jennifer N (DNR)
Cc: David Blaha; Deb McGovern; Melinda Todorov
Subject: NorthMet EIS - - draft ERM GoldSim model eval work plan (Phase II subsection)

Greetings

Attached is the draft Phase II evaluation subsection for your review and comment.

Please send comments back to Dave Blaha.

FYI - - once this Phase II subsection is approved, we will insert it in the overall work plan (including the Phase 1 approach that was approved and implemented already).

Thanks, Al

This message contains information which may be confidential, proprietary, privileged, or otherwise protected by law from disclosure or use by a third party. If you have received this message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: <http://www.erm.com>