

Johnson, Bill H (DNR)

From: Johnson, Bill H (DNR)
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 9:37 AM
To: Melinda Todorov
Cc: David Blaha; Al Trippel; Fay, Lisa (DNR); Fred Marinelli; Houston Kempton ([REDACTED] John L. Adams
Subject: RE: Follow-up on NMet: GoldSim QA/QC

Thanks Melinda. Concur on the May document being what's needed. Bill.

From: Melinda Todorov [[REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 8:18 AM
To: Johnson, Bill H (DNR)
Cc: David Blaha; Al Trippel; Fay, Lisa (DNR); Fred Marinelli; Houston Kempton ([REDACTED] John L. Adams
Subject: RE: Follow-up on NMet: GoldSim QA/QC

Hi Bill,

We prepared two draft work plans (dated 012112 and 030612 in attachment names), but then combined these to create the final work plan (attached: GoldSim Evaluation Work Plan w Water Modeling Review Diagram 050212). We think you only need the third document, but I've attached all three for your reference.

Melinda

Melinda K. Todorov

Environmental Resources Management
200 Harry S. Truman Parkway, Suite 400
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Please consider the environment before printing this email

<'('><

From: Johnson, Bill H (DNR) [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])]
Sent: Tuesday, February 26, 2013 4:19 PM
To: Melinda Todorov
Cc: David Blaha; Fred Marinelli; John L. Adams; Houston Kempton ([REDACTED] Al Trippel; Deb McGovern; Ross Vellacott; Fay, Lisa (DNR)
Subject: Follow-up on NMet: GoldSim QA/QC

Melinda, thanks again for providing this document.

I am going to distribute this to the Cooperating Agencies for their information to support their own independent review. One thing that I'd like to provide for them is the final, approved work plans for Task I, and Task I and II.

Can you (or someone else on the team) provide the final versions of the Task I and II work plans for me? Erik did maintain an archive here but I am not sure what ended up being the final ones. I believe providing the ERM team's work plans will better allow the Cooperating Agencies to understand the work that was done, logic behind it, and the conclusions.

Your assistance here is appreciated. Thanks. Bill J.

Bill Johnson, Mining Section Lead
Environmental Policy & Review Unit
MDNR Division of Ecological & Water Resources

From: Melinda Todorov [mailto:]
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 2:28 PM
To: Johnson, Bill H (DNR)
Cc: David Blaha; Fred Marinelli; John L. Adams; Houston Kempton () Al Trippel; Deb McGovern; Ross Vellacott; Fay, Lisa (DNR)
Subject: NMet: GoldSim QA/QC

Hi Bill,

Attached is the memo containing the QA/QC evaluations for the Mine and Plant Site Models. The overall conclusion is that "there is good evidence that the v5 GoldSim models are functionally accurate and have addressed all issues identified by the ERM Team. The Team concludes that the v5 GoldSim models have acceptable reliability and can be used as a basis for assessing environmental impacts in the SDEIS."

Thank you,
Melinda

Melinda K. Todorov
Environmental Resources Management
200 Harry S. Truman Parkway, Suite 400
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
Phone: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]

Please consider the environment before printing this email
<'(((><

This message contains information which may be confidential, proprietary, privileged, or otherwise protected by law from disclosure or use by a third party. If you have received this message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: <http://www.erm.com>

This message contains information which may be confidential, proprietary, privileged, or otherwise protected by law from disclosure or use by a third party. If you have received this message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: <http://www.erm.com>