

## Johnson, Bill H (DNR)

---

**From:** Tina Pint <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 25, 2013 9:28 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Bill H (DNR); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Status of Models

Bill-

We can post whatever needs to be posted today, again assuming nothing turns up in ERM's QA/QC review. I would like to talk to you if possible about what exactly needs to be posted. The models haven't changed since we last provided them to the Co-lead Agencies and I'm wondering if you think we need to update them at all (I don't believe we need to but would like to confirm). Also, do we need to post the two Data Packages Sections 1-5 on the same FTP site or will you be distributing those separately?

Thanks,  
Tina

Tina Pint, PG

Senior Hydrogeologist

Minneapolis office: [REDACTED]

cell: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[www.barr.com](http://www.barr.com)

resourceful. naturally.



---

**From:** Johnson, Bill H (DNR) [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 25, 2013 9:12 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Tina Pint  
**Subject:** Status of Models

Good morning Jim and Tina. I've got an inquiry in to Dave B. on the status of the QA/QC report for the Mine and Plant Site models; I'll advise of his report. In the meantime, we're all expecting them to be complete today, which clears the way for initiating the Cooperating Agencies review of models and results commencing tomorrow.

Are things set on your side to get the information up on the Barr FTP site today? Thanks. Bill.

Bill Johnson, Mining Section Lead  
Environmental Policy & Review Unit  
MDNR Division of Ecological & Water Resources