

Johnson, Bill H (DNR)

From: David Blaha <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 1:59 PM
To: Carlson, Erik (DNR); Arkley, Stuart (DNR)
Cc: Al Trippel; Deb McGovern
Subject: GoldSim Model evaluation

Just a quick heads up - I just got off the phone with Fred

As you know they have finished the mine site model and only have high praise on how well it was organized and its consistency with the IAP and other guidance

They are, however, turning up a lot of problems with the Plant Site model and feel as though the most efficient path forward is to provide some guidance to Barr on the problems and let them clean it up some and then resubmit. Barr had two different teams working on the two models, and obviously one really "got" it and it looks like the other didn't.

I am supposed to get an email summarizing Fred's concerns shortly and will forward

perhaps we can talk after I send Fred's email and agree on the best path forward, but I would suggest that we have a conference call with Barr to discuss concerns

David W. Blaha
Principal
Impact Assessment and Planning Practice
ERM
1001 Connecticut Ave. NW
Suite 1115
Washington, D.C. 20036
[REDACTED] (Mobile)
[REDACTED]
www.erm.com

This message contains information which may be confidential, proprietary, privileged, or otherwise protected by law from disclosure or use by a third party. If you have received this message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: <http://www.erm.com>