

## Johnson, Bill H (DNR)

---

**From:** David Blaha [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 12, 2012 8:10 AM  
**To:** Carlson, Erik (DNR)  
**Subject:** Re: two follow-up items

Hi erik

I will check in with fred and john and get any response hopefully today

Re:covariance I have input from houston but need to follow up with him on a few details. I am technically off today and tomorrow but will have covariance memo to you no later than tuesday

Sound ok?

---

**From:** Carlson, Erik (DNR) [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 12, 2012 02:04 PM  
**To:** David Blaha  
**Cc:** Liljegren, Michael W (DNR) [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** two follow-up items

Hi Dave,

Liljegren is out of the office for a few days and asked me to follow up with you on the Plant Site Work Plan and Data Package. Marinelli and Adams have or are reviewing the comment spreadsheet from Barr on both documents. Would you mind checking in to see what follow-up items they have for Barr or if their comments/questions have been resolved?

Also I wanted to check in on the covariance/correlation question that you are looking into. The outstanding question as I understand it is whether or not, or to the degree to which Barr should include covariance/correlation assumptions in the GoldSim model. Do you have a sense when you will finish looking into this issue?

Also just an FYI that latest version of the QA/QC document is under review here. I expect that I will be able to get back to you at the end of next week.

Thanks for your help!

-Erik

---

This message contains information which may be confidential, proprietary, privileged, or otherwise protected by law from disclosure or use by a third party. If you have received this message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: <http://www.erm.com>